Explicit proof that electroproduction of transversely polarized mesons vanishes in perturbative QCD
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By means of an explicit one-loop calculation, it is shown that the leading twist contribution to the exclusive electroproduction of transversely polarized vector mesons from the nucleon vanishes. This confirms the all-orders proof by Collins and Diehl [Phys. Rev. D 61, 114015 (2000)].
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Electroproduction of vector mesons from a nucleon by a highly virtual longitudinally polarized photon [2,3] is a process that is computable in perturbative QCD and, apparently, capable of accessing off-forward parton distributions [4,5]. Among others, Collins, Frankfurt, and Strikman [6] showed that the reaction amplitude for the diffractive meson electroproduction can be factorized into a form involving convolutions of the off-forward parton distributions and meson wave functions with hard scattering coefficients. Of particular interest is the electroproduction of transversely polarized vector mesons because this may provide a handle to access various twist-2 chiral-odd off-forward parton distribution functions. (We refer to Refs. [7,8] for a categorization of twist-2 off-diagonal distribution functions.) Experimentally, chiral-odd distributions are notoriously difficult to measure because they can make nonvanishing contributions only if matched with some other chiral-odd quantities. As noted in Ref. [6], the leading twist wave function of transversely polarized vector mesons is chirally odd. Thus there arises the possibility of accessing chiral-odd off-forward parton distributions by studying the production of transversely polarized vector mesons.

Unfortunately, it turns out that amplitudes associated with matching chirald-odd off-forward parton distributions with chiral-odd vector meson wave functions vanish [9] at leading order in strong-coupling constant, \( \alpha_s \). More interestingly, Diehl, Gousset, and Pire [3] presented a proof based on chiral invariance that these hard coefficients vanish to all orders in perturbation theory. This proof is a direct consequence of the identity

\[
\gamma^\mu \sigma^{\rho \lambda} \gamma_\mu = 0. 
\]  

(1)

Here the matrix comes either from the density matrix associated with the off-forward quark helicity-flip distribution in the vector meson or from that associated with the chiral-odd light-cone wave function of the nucleon, while the two matrices sandwiching \( \sigma^{\rho \lambda} \) correspond to the hard gluon scattering exchange. In fact, attaching any number of gluon lines to the quark lines in the basic diagram leaves the conclusion unchanged: the hard coefficients vanish to all orders in perturbation theory.

There may, in spite of the above, exist a way out of this conclusion. It was observed by Hoodbhoy and Lu [10] that because chiral invariance is anomalously broken in QCD, a nonzero hard coefficient may nevertheless exist. In d dimensions, the identity in Eq. (1) is replaced by \( \gamma^\mu \sigma^{\rho \lambda} \gamma_\mu = (d - 4) \sigma^{\rho \lambda} \). Thus, the Dirac trace for the quark loop will be of order \( \epsilon \), where \( d = (4 + 2 \epsilon) \). Beyond the leading order, \( 1/\epsilon \) divergences from poles in the loop integrals occur that cancel the factor of \( \epsilon \) in the numerator. Thus some nonvanishing terms may still survive, and this seemed to indicate that nonzero hard-scattering coefficients exist. However, it was pointed out by Collins and Diehl [1] that Hoodbhoy and Lu [10] had neglected to subtract the one-loop evolution of the nucleon and meson, which is necessary to correctly derive the hard coefficients of scattering. In this Brief Report, the above omission will be rectified and it will be seen that indeed an exact cancellation occurs between the overall amplitude and the parts coming from hadronic evolution.

Consider the process \( \gamma^\ast (q, e_L) + N(P,S) \rightarrow V(K, e_T) + N(P', S') \), where the first and second symbols in the parentheses stand for the particle momentum and spin vector, respectively. As usual, we define the average momentum and momentum difference for the initial- and final-state nucleons: \( \bar{P} = \frac{1}{2} (P' + P) \), \( \Delta = P' - P \). It is most convenient to work in the frame in which \( \bar{P} \) and \( q \) are collinear with each other and put them in the third direction. In such light-cone dominated scattering processes, it is usual to introduce two conjugate lightlike vectors \( p^\mu \) and \( n^\mu \) in the third direction with \( p^2 = n^2 = 0 \) and \( p \cdot n = 1 \). Correspondingly, using Ji’s parametrization [4], the relevant momenta can be written as follows:

\[
q^\mu = -2 \xi p^\mu + vn^\mu, \\
\bar{P}^\mu = p^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \bar{M}^2 n^\mu, \\
\Delta^\mu = -2 \xi (p^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \bar{M}^2 n^\mu) + \Delta^\mu, 
\]

with \( \nu = Q^2/(4 \xi) \), \( Q^2 = -q^2 \), and \( \bar{M}^2 = M^2 - \Delta^2/4 \). With this choice of coordinates, the longitudinal polarization vector of the virtual photon reads \( e_T^\mu = Q^{-1}(2 \xi p^\mu + vn^\mu) \). At lowest twist we can safely approximate the particle momenta as follows:

\[
P^\mu = (1 + \xi) p^\mu + \cdots, \\
P'^\mu = (1 - \xi) p^\mu + \cdots, \\
K^\mu = vn^\mu + \cdots.
\]

---
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According to the factorization theorem, the dominant mechanism is a single quark scattering process. The reaction amplitude is approximated as a product of three components:

- the hard partonic scattering, the nonperturbative matrix associated with the nucleon, and the matrix associated with the vector meson production.
- The active quark has to come back into the nucleon blob after experiencing the hard scattering. On the nucleon side, the initial and final quarks carry momenta \((x + \xi)p\) and \((x - \xi)p\), respectively. By decomposing the nucleon matrix, one has

\[
\int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda x} (P^* S') \bar{\psi}_d(\frac{i}{2} \lambda n) \psi_{\beta}(\frac{i}{2} \lambda n) |PS\rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\xi} \sigma_{\beta \alpha} [\bar{U}(P^* S') H_T(x, \xi) \sigma_{\alpha \beta} U(PS) + \cdots]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\xi} i (\not{p} \not{k}_T) \beta_\alpha F_N(x, \xi, Q^2).
\]

To save space, only one of the four twist-2 chiral-odd off-forward parton distributions have been displayed [8] in the second equation above: there is no loss of generality since the remaining tensor structures have identical transformation properties. In the above, \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are the quark spinor indices. Flavor and color indices have been suppressed. Also suppressed is the gauge link operator in the definition of the matrix elements. For convenience, the calculations were performed in the Feynman gauge. On the side of the vector meson production, the collinear momenta that the quark and antiquark carry can be parametrized as \((\frac{1}{2} + z) vn\) and \((\frac{1}{2} - z) vn\), respectively. Similarly, one can write down the following decomposition for the nonperturbative matrix associated with the vector meson production,

\[
\int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda z} \langle 0 | \bar{\psi}_{\rho}(-\frac{i}{2} \lambda n) \psi_{\alpha}(\frac{i}{2} \lambda n) |K, e_T\rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\xi} \sigma_{\alpha \beta} F_{V}(z, Q^2) e^{\#}_{k_x} K_{\rho} + \cdots,
\]

where \(F_V\) is a twist-2 chiral-odd vector meson wave function.

It is convenient to write the scattering amplitude as a perturbation series in \(\alpha_s\), in the following form:

\[
A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_s^n A^{(n)}
\]

\[
= \left(\frac{e}{Q}\right) \int_{-1}^{+1} dx \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{+\frac{1}{2}} dz \frac{F_V(x, \xi, Q^2) F_V(z, Q^2)}{(x - \xi + i\epsilon)(\frac{1}{2} - z)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_s^n S^{(n)}.
\]

There is no diagram at zeroth order, so \(S^{(0)} = 0\).

At the tree level there are two Feynman diagrams for the hard partonic scattering, corresponding to the fact that either before or after it is struck by the virtual photon, the active quark must undergo a hard scattering to adjust its momentum so as to form the final-state vector meson. (Remember that in

\[\text{our chosen frame, both initial-state and final-state nucleons move in the third plus direction, while the vector meson goes in the opposite direction.}\]

The sum of the two tree diagrams gives the \(O(\alpha_s)\) term, \(S^{(1)} = 2C_F \pi(4 - d) = 2C_F \pi(-2\epsilon)\). Calculation of the one-loop term of \(O(\alpha_s^2)\) is more complicated. We work with renormalized perturbation theory, so all the self-energy and vertex corrections are understood to be accompanied by the corresponding ultraviolet counterterms. It is preferable to group the diagrams by their color structure, and the task is to calculate \(S^{(2)} = \Sigma_i C_F f_i(x, \xi, z)\) where \(C_i\) is the color factor and \(i\) runs over all distinct one-loop Feynman diagrams, illustrated in Figs. 1–3. Results for each of the different sets of diagrams are discussed next.

The diagrams shown in Fig. 1 are characteristic of the three-gluon vertex and possess a common color factor of \(C_{\text{FG}} = (N_c^2 - 1)/4\). After canceling ultraviolet divergences through counterterms, only the infrared divergences make contributions. The individual diagrams (plus their respective counterterms) yield

\[
f_{3a} = -2 - \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{2} + z) \log(\frac{1}{2} - z) - \frac{2\xi}{\xi + x} \log(\frac{\xi - x}{2\xi})},
\]

\[
f_{3b} = 1 - (\frac{1}{2} + z) \log(\frac{1}{2} - z),
\]

\[
f_{3c} = 1 - [2\xi/(\xi + x)] \log(\frac{\xi - x}{2\xi}),
\]

\[
f_{3d} = -2, \quad f_{3e} = -2.
\]

\[\text{FIG. 1. One-loop corrections to the hard partonic scattering with a three-gluon vertex.}\]
were evaluated to be considerable algebra, the contributions from individual diagrams in this group require lengthy calculation. After conversion to the common color factor, contributions from diagrams in this group contain no infrared divergences, while the ultraviolet divergences are canceled by the counterterms.

Shown in Fig. 3 are another group of diagrams that share a common color factor, \( C_{\text{Fig.}3} = (N_c^2 - 1)/4N_c^2 \). Some diagrams in this group require lengthy calculation. After considerable algebra, the contributions from individual diagrams were evaluated to be

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_{s_5} &= - \frac{1}{e_F} - 1 + \frac{1}{\xi + z} \log\left(\frac{1}{\xi} - z\right) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\xi + z} \log\left(\frac{1}{\xi} - z\right) + \log\left(\frac{(\xi + z/2)(\xi + x)}{2 \xi} \right) Q^2 e^\gamma \frac{4 \pi \mu^2}{2}.
\end{align*}
\]

where \( 1/e_F \) is the infrared pole, \( \mu^2 \) is the scale parameter in the dimensional regularization scheme, and \( \gamma \) is the Euler constant. Summing over all the diagrams in Fig. 3, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  &\sum_{\text{Fig.3}} C_{f_i}(\xi, x, z) = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{4N_c^2} \left[ 8 + \frac{2}{\xi + z} \log\left(\frac{1}{\xi} - z\right) \right. \\
  &\left. + \frac{4 \xi}{\xi + z} \log\left(\frac{1}{\xi} - z\right) \right] .
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 2 contains a group of diagrams that have a common color factor but vanish. The first three drop out simply because their Dirac traces vanish even in the \((4 + \varepsilon)\) space. The last two do not contribute because their vertex corrections contain no infrared divergences, while the ultraviolet divergences are canceled by the counterterms.

At this stage, we comment on the one-loop self-energy corrections for the hard scattering partonic processes. In renormalized perturbation theory, one need not consider the self-energy insertions either on the incoming or outgoing quark lines. Instead, we need to take the tree diagrams, but in \((4 + \varepsilon)\) dimensions, and include a factor of \( Z_F^{1/2} = 1 - (\alpha_s/2\pi) C_F [1/(d - 4)] \) for each external quark line of the hard scattering part. The ultraviolet pole in \( Z_F \) can be compensated by the \( e \) factor from the tree-level trace. This is exactly where the ultraviolet divergences make their contribution. Consequently,

\[
\sum_{\text{tree}} C_{f_i}(\xi, x, z) = 2 C_F^2,
\]

where \( C_F = (N_c^2 - 1)/2N_c \). On the other hand, diagrams with a self-energy insertion onto an internal line do not contribute because they have no infrared divergences.

We have by now exhausted all the one-loop diagrams for the hard-scattering process. Combining Eqs. (2)–(4), the following compact expression for the scattering amplitude up to \( O(\alpha_s^2) \) emerges:

\[
S^{(0)} + S^{(1)} + S^{(2)} = -4\alpha_s C_F \pi e - 2\alpha_s^2 C_F^2
\]

\[
\times \left[ 3 + \frac{\log\left(\frac{1}{\xi} - z\right)}{\xi + z} + \frac{2 \xi}{\xi + z} \log\left(\frac{\xi - z}{\xi}ight) \frac{1}{2} \right].
\]

Let us finally turn to the issue of factorization and extraction of the hard-scattering coefficient. Schematically, the result of the above calculation for the amplitude can be written as

\[
A = H^* F_F^\gamma F_V,
\]

where \( H \) is the hard-scattering function and * denotes convolution. We have already perturbatively evaluated \( A \) up to
Each of the three quantities on the right-hand side of the above equation can be expanded as well:

\[ H = H^{(0)} + \alpha H^{(1)} + \alpha^2 H^{(2)} + \ldots, \]

\[ F_N = F_N^{(0)} + \alpha F_N^{(1)} + \ldots, \]

\[ F_V = F_V^{(0)} + \alpha F_V^{(1)} + \ldots. \]

The hard coefficients \( H^{(n)} \) are to be regarded as unknowns, to be determined by substituting the power series for \( A, H, F_N, \) and \( F_V \) into Eq. (5).

From Ref. [7] (see also [11]), the first-order modification of the nucleon distribution is:

\[
F_N^{(1)}(x, \xi, Q^2) = \frac{1}{2 \pi \epsilon} C_F \left[ \frac{3}{2} + \int \frac{dx}{x} \right] F_N^{(0)}(x, \xi, Q^2) + \frac{1}{2 \pi \epsilon} C_F \left[ \frac{3}{2} + \int \frac{dx}{x} \right] F_N^{(0)}(x, \xi, Q^2)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2 \pi \epsilon} C_F \left[ \int \frac{dx}{x} \right] F_N^{(0)}(x, \xi, Q^2) - \theta(-\xi - x) \int dy \frac{\xi + y}{y + \xi} F_N^{(0)}(y, \xi, Q^2) - \theta(-\xi - x) \int dy \frac{\xi + y}{y + \xi} F_N^{(0)}(y, \xi, Q^2).
\]

A similar calculation gives the first-order modification to the meson distribution,

\[
F_V^{(1)}(z, Q^2) = \frac{1}{2 \pi \epsilon} C_F \left[ \frac{3}{2} + \int \frac{dx}{x} \right] F_V^{(0)}(z, Q^2) + \frac{1}{2 \pi \epsilon} C_F \left[ \int \frac{dx}{x} \right] F_V^{(0)}(z, Q^2)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2 \pi \epsilon} C_F \left[ \int \frac{dx}{x} \right] F_V^{(0)}(z, Q^2) - \theta(-\xi - x) \int dy \frac{\xi + y}{y + \xi} F_V^{(0)}(y, \xi, Q^2) - \theta(-\xi - x) \int dy \frac{\xi + y}{y + \xi} F_V^{(0)}(y, \xi, Q^2).
\]

With all ingredients now present, we can complete the calculation. Since \( A^{(0)} \) vanishes, \( H^{(0)} \) is also zero. \( H^{(1)} \) is proportional to \( \epsilon \), but this is canceled by the \( \epsilon^{-1} \) in \( F_N^{(1)} \) and \( F_V^{(1)} \) and we find that

\[
\alpha^2 H^{(1)} F_N^{(1)} F_V^{(1)} = -2 \alpha^2 C_F \left( \frac{e}{Q} \right) \int \frac{dx}{x} \int \frac{dz}{z} \left[ \frac{3}{2} + \frac{2 \epsilon}{\epsilon + x \log \frac{Q}{2 \epsilon}} \right]
\]

\[
\times F_N^{(0)}(x, \xi, Q^2) F_V^{(0)}(z, Q^2) \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{2 \epsilon}{\epsilon + x \log \frac{Q}{2 \epsilon}} \right)
\]

\[
\alpha^2 H^{(1)} F_N^{(1)} F_V^{(1)} = -2 \alpha^2 C_F \left( \frac{e}{Q} \right) \int \frac{dx}{x} \int \frac{dz}{z} \left[ \frac{3}{2} + \frac{2 \epsilon}{\epsilon + x \log \frac{Q}{2 \epsilon}} \right]
\]

\[
\times F_N^{(0)}(x, \xi, Q^2) F_V^{(0)}(z, Q^2) \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{2 \epsilon}{\epsilon + x \log \frac{Q}{2 \epsilon}} \right)
\]

The sum of the above two terms precisely equals \( A^{(2)} \). Since

\[
A^{(2)} = H^{(2)} F_N^{(1)} F_V^{(1)} + H^{(1)} F_N^{(0)} F_V^{(1)} + H^{(1)} F_N^{(1)} F_V^{(1)} + H^{(1)} F_N^{(0)} F_V^{(1)},
\]

it follows that the one-loop hard-scattering coefficient vanishes, \( \mathcal{H}^{(2)} = 0 \).

In conclusion, the general proof by Collins and Diehl [1] appears to be correct and certainly holds at one loop. However, it is also fairly complicated and the explicit calculation presented here shows exactly how it works out at leading order. For all this, it is still puzzling why the proof should work to all orders, given that no fundamental symmetry of QCD is being violated by the process under consideration. (Chiral symmetry is not fundamental.) No other process in QCD seems to share this property. The good news is that there will not be any leading twist chiral-odd contaminations in the measurement of chiral-even distributions. The bad news is that, at leading twist, it is impossible to access the chiral-odd parton distributions by means of vector meson electroproduction.
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